Asian Journal of Scientific Research and Innovations
ISSN: 2715-xxxx (online) | IF: 10.23 | Vol: 1, issue 1
Available: http://asiansciencejournal.org

REFLECTIVE LESSON PLANNING AND ITS INFLUENCE ON LEARNER-CENTRED ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING

Abdullakimova Zarina Zohiddinovna
2nd master's student of Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages
zarinazoxiddinovna@gmail.com
Bakhriddinova Dildora Dilshodovna
2nd master's student of Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages
bakhritdinovadildora@gmail.com

Abstract: This article examines how reflective lesson planning supports learner-centred English language teaching through the systematic interpretation of classroom evidence. Drawing on research into reflective practice, curriculum development, and formative assessment, it explores connections between teacher decision-making, learner participation, differentiated support, and autonomy. The analysis suggests that reflection becomes educationally valuable when observations inform specific changes to subsequent lessons. Practical examples illustrate how teachers can reconsider activities, feedback, and assessment while acknowledging contextual constraints and avoiding unsupported assumptions about learning outcomes.

Keywords: reflective lesson planning, learner-centred teaching, English language teaching, reflective practice, learner autonomy.

Introduction

Lesson planning connects curriculum expectations with the everyday experiences of language learners. Through planning, teachers select learning objectives, arrange activities, anticipate difficulties, and decide how to recognise progress. However, a carefully organized sequence does not necessarily provide meaningful opportunities for every learner. Students may complete an exercise without understanding its communicative purpose, participate in group work without contributing equally, or reproduce a grammatical structure without using it independently. These possibilities make lesson planning an appropriate focus for professional reflection. Reflective lesson planning can be understood as a continuing process in which teachers examine evidence from previous learning experiences and use their interpretations to prepare, adapt, and evaluate instruction. Its central concern is the relationship between intended learning and learners' actual responses. A reflective plan therefore includes questions about participation, understanding, support, and assessment alongside decisions about materials and timing.

Learner-centred English language teaching provides a relevant framework for this process because it places learners' needs and contributions within educational decision-making. Nunan presents curriculum development as an interaction between planning, implementation, and evaluation, with teachers and students contributing to its development [1]. Reflection can help teachers identify whether this participation exists in classroom practice and how it might be strengthened

Literature Review

The relationship between planning and learning is more complex than the successful delivery of prepared content. Nunan distinguishes the planned curriculum from its classroom implementation and from what learners actually learn. His observation that "The notion that planning equals teaching and that teaching equals learning is naive" challenges the assumption that completing a lesson plan demonstrates educational success [1]. This

distinction provides a basis for reflection: teachers need evidence about learners' experiences to judge whether their intended objectives were realised. A complementary perspective appears in Richards and Lockhart's account of reflective language teaching. Their approach encourages teachers to investigate classroom experience, examine assumptions, and connect professional decisions with information collected from practice [2]. Their discussion of language-learning activities also links the selection of classroom tasks to instructional goals. For reflective planning, this means examining both the purpose of an activity and the opportunities it creates for learners to use language. An activity can be familiar and convenient while remaining poorly matched to a particular group's needs.

Research on reflective practice further highlights the relationship between teachers' beliefs and classroom behaviour. Farrell's case study of an academic writing teacher investigated this relationship through classroom observation and oral recall [3]. The study suggests that these methods can help teachers examine connections between their stated beliefs and their instructional practices. Its relevance to planning lies in the possibility that teachers may intend to encourage independence while organising tasks that leave learners little responsibility. The case offers an investigative approach, although it does not establish a general causal effect on learner achievement. Reflection also extends beyond the technical organisation of individual activities. Farrell and Macapinlac examined two novice TESOL teachers in South Korea through a framework encompassing philosophy, principles, theory, practice, and considerations beyond practice [4]. Their analysis identified connections involving teacher roles and students' success. This perspective suggests that planning decisions can be examined in relation to broader educational commitments. Decisions about whose answers receive attention, which experiences appear in materials, and how errors are treated may express beliefs about authority and legitimate participation.

Practical guidance offers accessible ways to investigate these questions. The British Council's resource on reflective teaching recommends diaries, peer observation, recordings, and student feedback [5]. These tools provide different perspectives on classroom events. A diary captures the teacher's interpretation, while observation and learner feedback may reveal experiences the teacher overlooked. Used together, they can support a more balanced account of why an activity succeeded or caused difficulty. Learner-centred planning requires particular attention to what students will actually do. Bullock expresses this principle directly: "Plan what learners will do, not what you will do" [6]. Her guidance connects learner-centredness with active participation, supportive teacher roles, and opportunities to compare ideas. The implication for reflection is that a lesson should be reviewed through the quality of learner activity, rather than through the teacher's performance alone.

Formative assessment provides a further connection between classroom evidence and subsequent instruction. Black and Wiliam's theoretical account addresses how assessment can inform decisions about teaching and learning [7]. Applied to reflective planning, this perspective makes the interpretation and use of evidence essential. Gathering student responses has limited instructional value if the next lesson proceeds without considering what those responses reveal.

Methodology

This article employs a qualitative narrative review and conceptual synthesis of selected publications on reflective language teaching, learner-centred curriculum development, and formative assessment. Sources were purposively selected for their direct relevance to teacher decision-making, learner participation, classroom inquiry, and instructional adaptation, using academic publisher pages, journal records, and official professional-development resources. The analysis compared their treatment of planning, evidence collection, teacher roles, and the

use of learner responses, then organised the discussion around possible connections between reflection and learner-centred instruction. Short quotations were checked against accessible source texts. The classroom scenarios and planning framework presented below were developed by the author to illustrate applications of the synthesis; they are not records of observed lessons or participant testimony. No original classroom intervention, interviews, or achievement measurements were conducted, so the findings represent analytical propositions rather than experimentally demonstrated effects.

Results

The synthesis identified several ways in which reflective lesson planning can make learner-centred principles more concrete. These concern the formulation of objectives, participation structures, differentiated support, formative assessment, and learner involvement. The following results describe proposed mechanisms and their classroom applications. An initial finding concerns the wording and interpretation of learning objectives. A plan that states teach expressions for giving advice identifies content but leaves the expected learner performance unclear. A more informative objective would be: Learners will recommend a response to an everyday problem and explain why it is suitable. This formulation gives the teacher something to observe and gives learners a clearer purpose. For example, a lesson might introduce should, could, and If I were you. Students could then discuss a problem such as preparing for an examination while managing other responsibilities. Reflection would consider whether they selected appropriate expressions, explained their recommendations, and responded to a partner's ideas. If learners only read prepared sentences, the next plan could include a new problem requiring an independently constructed response. The proposed change follows from the gap between rehearsed production and the intended communicative performance.

A second finding concerns participation. Reflective planning can question the assumption that whole-class discussion represents the understanding of the whole class. Quick responses from confident speakers may provide an incomplete picture. A teacher could therefore plan individual thinking time, pair rehearsal, and a short written response before inviting public contributions. In a reading lesson, for instance, students might explain why a character rejected an invitation. Asking the question immediately may favour learners who process the text quickly. A revised sequence could allow everyone to identify a supporting sentence, compare interpretations with a partner, and then contribute an explanation. The reflective question becomes whether the sequence enabled more learners to develop an answer. The quantity of public responses remains useful, but the quality of the reasoning also needs attention.

A third finding concerns differentiated support. Reflection can help a teacher replace broad judgments such as the text was too difficult with more specific explanations. Learners may struggle because of unfamiliar vocabulary, limited background knowledge, unclear instructions, or the demands of the follow-up task. These difficulties call for different planning responses. For a lesson on environmental problems, all learners could work towards the shared objective of proposing and justifying a local action. Optional support might include a brief glossary, a model recommendation, or sentence openings. Learners who need less assistance could compare possible actions and discuss disadvantages. The purpose is to preserve a meaningful common goal while providing different routes towards it.

Table 1. Proposed connections between reflective evidence and learner-centred planning

Asian Journal of Scientific Research and Innovations
ISSN: 2715-xxxx (online) | IF: 10.23 | Vol: 1, issue 1
Available: http://asiansciencejournal.org

Planning focus	Evidence to examine	Reflective question	Possible planning response	Evidence to review afterwards
Learning objectives	Learners' final spoken or written responses	Did learners demonstrate the intended ability?	Define an observable communicative outcome	Independent use of language in a new situation
Participation	Observation notes and individual responses	Who had time and support to contribute?	Add thinking time, pair rehearsal, and individual accountability	Contributions from a wider range of learners
Task accessibility	Errors, requests for help, and incomplete work	What specifically prevented progress?	Offer optional vocabulary or procedural support	Successful work with reduced assistance
Feedback	Original drafts and revisions	Did learners understand and use feedback?	Schedule guided revision and peer discussion	Relevant changes and explanations of revisions
Learner involvement	Preferences and learning reflections	Which choices support the learning objective?	Offer bounded choices of topic or example	Purposeful choices and completed outcomes
Subsequent planning	Exit responses and unresolved difficulties	What should the next lesson address?	Prepare a targeted follow-up activity	Improved performance on a related task

A fourth finding concerns the use of assessment information. Reflective planning can give classroom evidence a clear destination in the next lesson. An exit response, for example, could ask learners to make a recommendation and provide a reason. If the recommendations are understandable but the reasons are poorly connected, the teacher has grounds for planning work on explanation and justification. The follow-up should respond to the identified difficulty. It could include comparing an unsupported recommendation with a supported one, noticing the difference, and revising an earlier answer. This is more focused than repeating the entire previous lesson. A subsequent task would then provide evidence of whether the change helped.

A fifth finding concerns learner involvement in decisions. Students can contribute information about the situations in which they expect to use English and the kinds of support they find useful. In a speaking unit, learners might choose whether to discuss travel, study, or workplace situations while working towards the same interactional objective. Such choices give the teacher information about relevance without requiring the abandonment of curriculum expectations. Learner feedback nevertheless requires interpretation. A preference for an easy activity does not establish its educational value, while difficulty does not necessarily indicate poor design. A reflective plan can combine learners' perceptions with

their work, task performance, and the teacher's observations. The resulting decision is better informed than one based on satisfaction alone.

Discussion

The proposed influence of reflective lesson planning depends on the connection between evidence and action. A teacher may write detailed accounts after every lesson while continuing to use the same activities in the same way. In that situation, reflection documents experience but has limited influence on instruction. A more productive record identifies a specific event, considers possible explanations, selects a manageable change, and states what evidence will be examined next. Consider a hypothetical vocabulary lesson in which students complete a matching exercise correctly but rarely use the new words in discussion. The teacher might initially conclude that additional memorization is necessary. Another explanation is that the discussion task does not require those words. Reflective planning would examine both possibilities. The next lesson could provide brief retrieval practice and a task in which the vocabulary serves a clear purpose. Subsequent student responses would help judge whether either explanation was useful. This example shows why reflection needs to remain open to alternative interpretations. A quiet learner may be confused, concentrating, anxious, or waiting for an opportunity. An unfinished task may indicate excessive difficulty, insufficient time, or careful engagement. Planning decisions become more defensible when teachers compare different kinds of evidence instead of assigning a single cause immediately.

The investigative methods discussed in the literature offer ways to do this. Farrell's writing-teacher case illustrates the value of comparing beliefs with observation [3], while the British Council guidance includes learner feedback and peer observation [5]. These approaches support the examination of classroom experience from more than one perspective. Their practical value depends on a focused question that makes the collected information interpretable. Learner-centredness also requires careful interpretation. Reducing teacher talk or adding group work does not automatically create better learning opportunities. Brief explanation may be necessary, and an apparently active group task may allow one student to complete most of the work. The planning issue is how each stage supports the intended learning. A teacher might therefore retain a concise model, add supported practice, and then create space for independent communication.

An illustrative writing lesson makes this relationship visible. Students preparing an email of complaint could first compare two short openings, identify the effect of direct and polite wording, and select language suitable for their audience. They could then write about a problem of their choice. Peer review would focus on whether the problem and requested solution were clear. Reflection after the lesson would examine the drafts and revisions, including whether students understood the purpose of the feedback. The choice of topic gives learners some ownership, while the shared criteria maintain a common standard. If revisions only correct spelling, the next plan might model how to improve a request or clarify an explanation. This approach treats feedback as part of an ongoing instructional sequence, consistent with the role of evidence in formative assessment [7].

Context affects what teachers can sustain. Large classes, fixed textbooks, examination requirements, and limited preparation time may restrict extensive individualization. Reflective planning can still begin with a narrow question: whether instructions were understood, whether partners contributed, or whether learners used feedback. A small adjustment linked to clear evidence is easier to evaluate than several simultaneous changes. Institutional arrangements also shape the process. Teachers may be less willing to explore uncertainty when reflection is treated as a performance display. Professional discussion is more useful when it permits questions about unsuccessful activities and competing

explanations. Farrell and Macapinlac's broader framework is relevant here because it connects classroom practice with teachers' principles and understandings of their roles [4].

Conclusion

Reflective lesson planning offers a practical way to connect learner-centred principles with everyday instructional decisions. Its value lies in using evidence to reconsider objectives, participation, support, feedback, and learner involvement. The plan becomes a provisional guide that can be revised as teachers develop a clearer understanding of learners' responses. The analysis suggests that useful reflection should lead to a specific adjustment and a further opportunity to examine learning. Teachers can begin by selecting one concern, gathering relevant evidence, considering alternative explanations, and planning a manageable response. Learner perspectives should contribute to this process alongside observation and samples of work. Reflective planning may therefore support more responsive English language teaching, but its influence should be assessed through what learners can understand and do. Continued classroom investigation is necessary to establish which adaptations help particular learners under particular conditions.

REFERENCES

1. Nunan, D. (1988). The learner-centred curriculum: a study in second language teaching. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139524506
2. Richards, J. C., & Lockhart, C. (1994). Reflective teaching in second language classrooms. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511667169
3. Farrell, T. S. C. (2006). Reflective practice in action: A case study of a writing teacher's reflections on practice. TESL Canada Journal, 23(2), 77–90. https://doi.org/10.18806/tesl.v23i2.56
4. Farrell, T. S. C., & Macapinlac, M. (2021). Professional development through reflective practice: A framework for TESOL teachers. Canadian Journal of Applied Linguistics, 24(1), 1–25. https://doi.org/10.37213/cjal.2021.28999
5. British Council. (n.d.). Reflective teaching: Exploring our own classroom practice. TeachingEnglish.
6. Bullock, D. (2023, September 1). Making lessons learner-centred. British Council.
7. Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (2009). Developing the theory of formative assessment. Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability, 21(1), 5–31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-008-9068-5