

STUDY OF OPPOSITIONAL UNITS EXPRESSING THE MEANING OF GRADATION IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK LANGUAGES

Yusupova Nargis Ochilovna

Trainee-teacher of the Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages
nargisyusupova97@gmail.com

Annotation: This article investigates oppositional units expressing the meaning of gradation in English and Uzbek languages from a comparative-semantic perspective. The study examines the semantic and stylistic features of gradual opposition and analyzes the ways gradational meanings are represented in both languages. Special attention is given to lexical and phraseological units expressing different degrees of quality, intensity, and evaluation. The research also identifies similarities and differences between English and Uzbek gradational systems and explains their communicative significance in discourse.

Keywords: gradual opposition, semantic gradation, oppositional units, comparative linguistics.

Introduction

In modern linguistics, the study of semantic relations between language units occupies an important place in understanding the structure and functioning of language systems. One of the central semantic phenomena is opposition, which reveals similarities and contrasts between linguistic units. Among different types of opposition, gradual opposition is especially significant because it reflects varying degrees of a quality, feature, or state. Such oppositions are widely expressed through lexical and stylistic means in both English and Uzbek languages. The issue of gradation has attracted increasing attention in contemporary linguistic studies due to the development of semantic, structural, and comparative approaches. Gradual oppositional units not only demonstrate semantic differentiation but also reflect expressive and evaluative meanings in speech. In English and Uzbek, gradational relations frequently occur in everyday communication, literary texts, and media discourse, which increases their linguistic and stylistic importance.

Despite numerous studies devoted to semantic opposition, the category of gradual opposition in English and Uzbek has not been sufficiently investigated from a comparative perspective. The lack of detailed comparative research on oppositional units expressing gradation determines the relevance of this topic in modern linguistics. The investigation of such units contributes to a deeper understanding of semantic organization and functional characteristics of language. The comparative study of English and Uzbek gradual oppositional systems is important because these languages belong to different language families and possess distinct structural and cultural features. Therefore, the analysis of gradational meanings and oppositional relations helps reveal universal and language-specific aspects of semantic expression in the two languages.

Literature Review

The phenomenon of opposition has been one of the central issues in structural and semantic linguistics for many decades. The theoretical foundations of oppositional analysis were established by the representatives of structural linguistics, particularly by Nikolai

Trubetzkoy, who defined opposition as the principal mechanism for distinguishing linguistic units within a language system. In his work *Grundzüge der Phonologie*, the scholar primarily investigated phonological oppositions, but his theoretical principles later became applicable to lexical and semantic studies as well⁵⁵. According to Trubetzkoy, gradual opposition differs from privative and equipollent oppositions because it is based on the varying degree of the same semantic feature. This interpretation laid the groundwork for later semantic studies devoted to gradation and scalar meanings.

Further development of the theory of semantic opposition can be observed in the works of John Lyons, who examined lexical relations and semantic contrasts in language. Lyons emphasized that antonymy and gradation are closely interconnected categories because many oppositional pairs represent not absolute contradiction but relative semantic scales⁵⁶. For instance, lexical units such as *small – medium – large* or *cold – warm – hot* illustrate gradual semantic progression rather than binary contradiction. Lyons also noted that gradable oppositions are context-dependent and may acquire different stylistic and pragmatic meanings depending on communicative situations. His approach significantly expanded the understanding of semantic gradation within lexical systems.

The category of gradation was also investigated from stylistic and functional perspectives by Geoffrey Leech. In his stylistic research, Leech explained that gradational structures often strengthen emotional expressiveness and evaluative meaning in discourse⁵⁷. He argued that lexical gradation functions not only as a semantic phenomenon but also as a stylistic device that intensifies imagery and emotional impact in literary texts. Particularly in English literary discourse, gradual oppositional units are widely used to create expressive descriptions, emotional tension, and pragmatic emphasis. Such observations demonstrate the interrelation between semantics and stylistics in the functioning of gradual opposition.

In Uzbek linguistics, the issue of opposition and semantic relations has also attracted scholarly attention. Uzbek linguist Sh. Safarov investigated semantic structures and lexical relations in Uzbek from a cognitive-semantic perspective⁵⁸. According to the scholar, semantic gradation reflects the national and cultural worldview encoded in language units. Uzbek researchers have also emphasized that gradational meanings in Uzbek are frequently expressed through adjective systems, phraseological units, and stylistic repetition. However, despite numerous investigations devoted to semantic relations, comparative studies focusing specifically on gradual oppositional units in English and Uzbek remain limited. Therefore, the comparative-semantic analysis of such units continues to be one of the actual and insufficiently explored directions in contemporary linguistics.

Methodology

The present study is based on comparative-semantic and descriptive methods for analyzing oppositional units expressing gradation in English and Uzbek languages. Semantic and componential analyses were used to identify the meanings and structural features of gradual oppositions, while contextual analysis helped examine their stylistic and functional usage in different communicative situations. In addition, the comparative-linguistic method was applied to determine similarities and differences between English and Uzbek gradational

⁵⁵ Трубецкой Н. С. Основы фонологии. — М.: Аспект Пресс, 2000. — 352 с.

⁵⁶ Lyons J. *Semantics: Volume 1*. — Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1977. — 371 p.

⁵⁷ Leech G. N. *A Linguistic Guide to English Poetry*. — London: Longman, 1969. — 238 p.

⁵⁸ Сафаров Ш. Семантика. — Тошкент: Ўзбекистон миллий энциклопедияси, 2013. — 344 б.

systems through the analysis of lexical units and semantic scales taken from dictionaries, scientific sources, and literary texts.

Results And Discussion

Gradual opposition represents one of the most productive semantic phenomena in modern linguistics because it reflects varying degrees of quality, intensity, quantity, or emotional evaluation within language systems. Unlike binary oppositions, which express absolute contrast, gradual oppositions are based on scalar relations where intermediate semantic stages exist between two extremes. According to John Lyons, gradable oppositions allow speakers to evaluate objects and phenomena comparatively rather than categorically⁵⁹. In English and Uzbek, such oppositions are widely represented through adjectives, adverbs, verbs, and phraseological units. For instance, the English lexical chain *cold – cool – warm – hot* and the Uzbek equivalent *sovuq – salqin – iliq – issiq* demonstrate how semantic intensity gradually increases through intermediate lexical stages. In both languages, the semantic structure of these units is organized according to the degree of temperature perception, yet the stylistic and contextual usage of such units may vary depending on communicative intention.

One of the major characteristics of gradual opposition is the presence of semantic relativity. The meaning of gradational units often changes according to context, discourse type, and speaker perception. D. A. Cruse emphasized that gradable lexical items do not possess fixed semantic boundaries because their interpretation depends on contextual standards⁶⁰. For example, the adjective *large* in the phrase “*a large elephant*” expresses a different quantitative degree than in “*a large insect*.” Similarly, in Uzbek, the adjective *katta* acquires different semantic intensities depending on the object described: *katta uy* and *katta xato* represent physical and abstract gradation respectively. This contextual flexibility demonstrates that gradual opposition functions not only as a lexical-semantic phenomenon but also as a pragmatic category closely connected with communicative evaluation.

In English, gradational meanings are frequently expressed through comparative and superlative forms. Morphological structures such as *small – smaller – smallest* or *important – more important – most important* illustrate the grammatical realization of semantic gradation. Uzbek, however, often conveys similar meanings analytically through intensifying particles and lexical modifiers such as *juda*, *eng*, *nihoyatda*, or *biroz*. For example, English constructions like “*very difficult*” or “*extremely beautiful*” correspond to Uzbek forms such as *juda qiyin* and *nihoyatda go‘zal*. This indicates that English tends to combine both synthetic and analytic means of expressing gradation, whereas Uzbek relies more heavily on lexical intensifiers. According to Geoffrey Leech, intensifiers strengthen emotional expressiveness and contribute to stylistic emphasis in discourse⁶¹. Therefore, gradational structures frequently perform not only semantic but also stylistic functions.

The stylistic role of gradual opposition is especially visible in literary texts where semantic progression strengthens imagery and emotional effect. Writers often use gradational sequences to intensify description and create expressive dynamics within narration. For example, in English literary discourse, constructions such as “*He was tired, exhausted, almost lifeless*” demonstrate increasing emotional intensity through semantic escalation. Similarly, Uzbek literary language frequently employs lexical gradation in descriptive

⁵⁹ Lyons J. *Semantics: Volume 1*. — Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1977. — 371 p.

⁶⁰ Cruse D. A. *Lexical Semantics*. — Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1986. — 310 p.

⁶¹ Leech G. N. *A Linguistic Guide to English Poetry*. — London: Longman, 1969. — 238 p.

passages: *u charchagan, holdan toygan, mutlaqo darmonsiz edi*. In both cases, gradual opposition serves as a stylistic device that enhances emotional colouring and reader perception. I. V. Arnold notes that gradation functions as one of the most effective stylistic mechanisms for creating climax and expressive emphasis in literary texts⁶². Such structures increase the pragmatic impact of speech and strengthen textual coherence.

Another important aspect of gradual opposition is its close connection with evaluative semantics. Many oppositional units express subjective human attitudes toward objects or situations. For example, lexical chains such as good – excellent – perfect in English and *yaxshi – a'lo – mukammal* in Uzbek reveal increasing positive evaluation. Conversely, sequences like *bad – terrible – horrible* or *yomon – dahshatli – mudhish* express growing negative intensity. These examples demonstrate that gradual opposition reflects emotional and psychological perception in addition to objective semantic distinction. In everyday communication, speakers frequently manipulate gradational structures to emphasize approval, criticism, exaggeration, or emotional reaction. Therefore, semantic gradation is deeply connected with anthropocentric and cognitive aspects of language.

Gradational oppositions are also widely observed in phraseological systems. English phraseological expressions such as *to be over the moon*, *to feel blue*, or *to be down in the dumps* represent varying emotional intensities. Uzbek phraseological units similarly express different emotional degrees through figurative language, for example *boshi osmonga yetmoq*, *ko'ngli cho'kmoq*, or *yer bilan yakson bo'lmoq*. Such phraseological gradation demonstrates that semantic opposition extends beyond isolated lexical items and functions actively within culturally marked idiomatic expressions. According to Shavkat Safarov, semantic gradation in Uzbek often reflects national-cultural patterns of emotional evaluation and figurative thinking⁶³. Consequently, the comparative analysis of English and Uzbek phraseological gradation reveals both universal semantic tendencies and culture-specific linguistic features.

The comparative analysis of English and Uzbek gradual oppositions demonstrates that both languages share universal semantic principles based on scalar organization and evaluative progression. However, differences can be observed in structural realization, stylistic preferences, and cultural conceptualization. English frequently employs grammatical comparison and concise lexical structures, whereas Uzbek tends to rely on descriptive intensifiers and expressive repetition. Despite these differences, gradual opposition in both languages performs similar communicative functions: expressing intensity, evaluation, emotional colouring, and semantic differentiation. Therefore, the study of oppositional units expressing gradation contributes significantly to comparative linguistics, semantic theory, stylistics, and intercultural communication research.

Conclusion

Gradual opposition represents an important semantic phenomenon in both English and Uzbek languages, reflecting varying degrees of quality, intensity, and evaluation through oppositional relations. The comparative analysis showed that both languages actively use lexical, stylistic, and phraseological means to express gradational meanings, although their structural realization differs in certain aspects. English mainly relies on grammatical comparison and concise lexical forms, while Uzbek frequently employs intensifiers and expressive descriptive structures. The study also demonstrated that gradual oppositional units

⁶² Арнольд И. В. Стилистика современного английского языка. — М.: Флинта, 2002. — 384 с.

⁶³ Сафаров Ш. Семантика. — Тошкент: Ўзбекистон миллий энциклопедияси, 2013. — 344 б.

perform not only semantic but also stylistic and pragmatic functions in discourse. They strengthen emotional expressiveness, convey evaluative meanings, and increase the communicative impact of speech. Therefore, the investigation of gradational opposition contributes to a deeper understanding of semantic organization, comparative linguistics, and intercultural communication in modern linguistic research.

REFERENCES

1. Asror, O. F., Sherzod, A. E., & Salimovna, N. M. (2023). The importance of motivation in education. *Trends of Modern Science and Practice*, 1(2), 5-7.
2. Cruse D. A. *Lexical Semantics*. — Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1986. — 310 p.
3. Latipov A. AUDIO-MATNLAR BILAN ISHLASH JARAYONIDA TALABALARDA LINGVISTIK KOMPETENSIYALARNING SHAKLLANISHI //Conference Proceedings: Fostering Your Research Spirit. — 2025. — C. 121-124.
4. Leech G. N. *A Linguistic Guide to English Poetry*. — London: Longman, 1969. — 238 p.
5. Lyons J. *Semantics: Volume 1*. — Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1977. — 371 p.
6. Omonova, F. (2025). WAYS OF SOLVING THE PROBLEMS OF INTERCULTURALCOMMUNICATION. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence*, 1(4), 1362-1366.
7. Sevinchbonu M., Parvina A., Akbaraliyevich L. A. COLOR TERMS IN ENGLISH PHRASEOLOGY AND PAREMIOLOGY //Advances in Science and Humanities. — 2026. — T. 2. — №. 07. — C. 6-10.
8. Temirov N. GEORGE ORWELL VA ABDULHAMID CHULPON IJODLARINDA TOTALITARIZM VA SHAXSIY ERKINLIKNING BADIY TAFSILOTLARI //Osiyo ilmiy tadqiqotlar va innovatsiyalar jurnali. — 2026. — T. 1. — № 2. — 579-582-betlar.
9. Temirov N. INGLIZ VA O'ZBEK ADABIYOTINDA SHAXS TUSHUNCHASI MADANIYATLARARO ALOQA KONTEKSTINDA //RAQAMLI TRANSFORMATSIYA DAVRIDA TA'LIM VA TADQIQOT. — 2026. — 514-518-betlar.
10. Арнольд И. В. *Стилистика современного английского языка*. — М.: Флинта, 2002. — 384 с.
11. Сафаров Ш. *Семантика*. — Тошкент: Ўзбекистон миллий энциклопедияси, 2013. — 344 б.
12. Трубецкой Н. С. *Основы фонологии*. — М.: Аспект Пресс, 2000. — 352 с.